HENRY J. MYDE. Blinois
HOWARD COBLE, North Carolina
LAMAR S. SMITH. Texas
ELTON GALLEGLY. California
606 GOODLATTE. Virginia
STEVE CHABOT, ONIG.
WILLIAM L. JERKINS, Tennessee
CHRIS CANNON, Litah
SPENCER BACHUS, Alabama
JOHN N. HOSTETTLER, Indiana
MARK GREEN, Wisconsin
RIC KELLER, Finrids
MELISSA. A HART, Pennsylvenia
JEFF FLAKE, Arizona
MIKE PENCE, Indiana
J. RANDY FORBES, Virginia
STEVE KING, Jona
JOHN R. CARTER, Texas
TOM REENEY, Florids
MARSHA BLACKBURN, Tennessee

ONE HUNDRED EIGHTH CONGRESS

## Congress of the United States House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

2138 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6216

(202) 225–3951 http://www.house.gov/judiciary

May 6, 2003

Mr. John G. Malcolm
Deputy Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Division
U.S. Department of Justice
10th and Constitution Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20530

Dear Mr. Malcolm:

Thank you for testifying at the Crime Subcommittee's hearing on bills relating to regulation of internet gambling and for agreeing to answer questions in writing. To that end, I would appreciate prompt answers to the following questions so that the full Committee would have the benefit of your views in advance of reporting any legislation:

- H.R. 21, the Unlawful Internet Gambling Funding Prohibition Act, excludes from its definition of "bets or wagers" "any lawful transaction with a business licensed or authorized by a State." During the Subcommittee hearing you indicated that this would "absolutely" allow internet gambling on state lotteries.
  - (1) Does the Department believe that current law prohibits all types of internet gambling, including gambling on horse racing, dog racing, or lotteries?
  - (2) Does the Department believe that the language, quoted above, would allow internet gambling on horse racing, if the entity was licensed or authorized by a state?
  - (3) Does the Department believe that the language, quoted above, would allow internet gambling on dog racing, if the entity was licensed or authorized by a state?
  - (4) Does the Department believe that the language, quoted above, therefore, expands legal gambling opportunities on the internet?
  - (5) Does the Department believe that the language, quoted above, requires that an entity be licensed or authorized by a state to conduct *internet* gambling or that it would suffice for an entity to be licensed or authorized by a state for some other purpose?

JOHN CONYERS, JR., Michigan RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

HOWARD L. BERMAN, California RICK BOUCHER, Virginia JERROLD NODLER, Hew York ROBERT C. Tabbey SCOTT, Virginia MELVIN L. WATT, North Carolina ZOE LOFGREN, California SHEILA JACKSON LEE. Texes MAXINE WATERS. California MARTIN T. MEENAN, Messachusetts ROBERT WEXLER, Florida TAMMY BALDWIN, Wisconsin ANTHONY D. WEINER, New York ADAM B. SCHEF, California LINDA T. SÁNCHEZ, California

Mr. John G. Malcolm Page Two May 6, 2003

(6) Would the Department support an amendment to strike the language, quoted above, or otherwise clarify that the bill does not weaken the prohibitions in current law on internet wagering?

I would welcome any further explanation you wish to provide. I would greatly appreciate a reply by Monday, May 12, if at all possible. Please reply to B-351-C Rayburn HOB and fax to Ted Kalo at 225-7680. Thank you.

Sincerely,

John Conyers, Jr. Ranking Member

cc: Hon. F. James Sensenbrenner
Mr. Jamie E. Brown, OLA, DOJ